



Kristen L. Richer, Partner
2029 Century Park East, Suite 300
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2904
Tel (310) 284-3880
Fax (310) 284-3894
Kristen.Richer@btlaw.com

June 11, 2024

VIA ECF

The Honorable Denise L. Cote
United States District Court Judge
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street, Room 1910
New York, New York 10007

Granted.
Denise Cote
6/12/24

In Re: Acetaminophen ASD/ADHD Prods. Liab. Litig., 1:22-md-3043 (DLC)
Defendants' Letter Motion to File Under Seal

Dear Judge Cote,

Defendants respectfully submit this letter brief to request that certain portions of the Defendants' Reply Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motion to Exclude Dr. Roberta Ness be filed under seal, with redactions, pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order (DE 351) (the "Protective Order") and Rule 8-B of the Court's Individual Practices. Specifically, Defendants seek to redact certain direct quotes, descriptions, summaries or characterizations of confidential company documents that were produced by Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. in Phase 1 discovery, pursuant to the Protective Order.

Commercial privacy interests mandate that this limited information should be filed under seal. *See, e.g., Gelb v. Am. Tel. & Tel. Co.*, 813 F. Supp. 1022, 1035 (S.D.N.Y. 1993) (assertion that competitive injury may result from public disclosure is "a sufficient basis to grant defendants' motion to seal"); *Kewazinga Corp. v. Microsoft Corp.*, No. 1:18-CV-4500-GHW, 2021 WL 1222122, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 31, 2021) ("Courts commonly find that documents that contain trade secrets, confidential research and development information, marketing plans, revenue information, pricing information, and the like satisfy the sealing standard."); *Carbon Inv. Partners, LLC v. Bressler*, No. 20-CV-03617 (ER), 2020 WL 5441497, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 10, 2020) (citing *United States v. Amodeo*, 71 F.3d 1044, 1051 (2d Cir. 1995)) ("The Second Circuit has recognized that business secrecy and privacy interests all warrant sealing notwithstanding the presumption of public access."). The company document quotations and references included in the Memorandum contain or reflect confidential, intracompany communications and analysis. The information involves proprietary internal information; public disclosure could give rise to competitive injury by providing insight into Defendants' internal analysis and processes. The Court previously granted requests to redact references to similar information on these same grounds in conjunction with the previous filings. *See e.g.*, DE 1333.

Pursuant to the Protective Order and Rule 8-B of the Court's Individual Practices, Defendants will contemporaneously file the proposed sealed portions of the documents with redactions in the ECF system and electronically relate those documents to this letter motion.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kristen L. Richer